

The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

TELEBUYER, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB
SERVICES LLC, and VADATA, INC.,

Defendants.

AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB
SERVICES LLC, and VADATA, INC.,

Counterclaimants,

v.

TELEBUYER, LLC,

Counterclaim-
Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01677-BJR

**AMAZON'S MOTION TO SEAL
AMAZON'S OBJECTIONS TO
TELEBUYER'S PROPOSED
TECHNICAL ADVISOR CANDIDATE**

Note on Motion Calendar:

NOVEMBER 7, 2014

1 Defendants and Counterclaimants Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon Web Services LLC, and
2 VADATA, Inc. (collectively, “Amazon”) respectfully move the Court to enter an order to file
3 under seal Amazon’s Objections to Telebuyer’s Proposed Technical Advisor Candidate. Ama-
4 zon brings this motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G) and Local Civil
5 Rule 5(g). Counsel for Telebuyer objects to this motion. (Chiu Decl., ¶ 3.)

6 Courts may seal filings that impose “undue burden or expense” on a party. Fed. R. Civ.
7 Proc. 26(c)(1). Telebuyer’s proposed Technical Advisor candidate is frequently appointed as an
8 expert or neutral in Eastern District of Texas patent cases, including cases in which Amazon has
9 been or will be involved. Recognizing the sensitive nature of party objections to such advisors
10 who may be assigned to cases despite such objections, the Eastern District of Texas has permit-
11 ted objections to a technical advisor to be submitted *in camera*. See, e.g., *American Vehicular*
12 *Sciences LLC v. Subaru of America, Inc.*, Civ. No. 13-cv-00229-JDL, D.I. 52 (E.D. Tex.). And
13 the public’s need for access to these objections is limited, at best. *Kamakana v. City and County*
14 *of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (the public has little need for access to docu-
15 ments that are “unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action”).

16 For these reasons, Amazon respectfully asks the Court to seal its objections in this action
17 so as to protect the confidentiality of those objections and, given Telebuyer’s candidate Advi-
18 sor’s close connection to the Eastern District of Texas, to provide the same level of confidentiali-
19 ty that would be afforded in that court.

November 7, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/ Richard G. Frenkel

Matthew J. Moore (*pro hac vice*)
matthew.moore@lw.com
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
Telephone: (202) 637-2200
Facsimile: (202) 637-2201

Douglas E. Lumish (*pro hac vice*)
doug.lumish@lw.com
Richard G. Frenkel (*pro hac vice*)
rick.frenkel@lw.com
Gabriel S. Gross (*pro hac vice*)
gabe.gross@lw.com
Patricia Young (*pro hac vice*)
patricia.young@lw.com
Eugene Chiu (*pro hac vice*)
eugene.chiu@lw.com
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025-1008
Telephone: (650) 328-4600
Facsimile: (650) 463-2600

s/ Brian D. Buckley

Brian D. Buckley, WSBA No. 26423
Ewa M. Davison, WSBA No. 39524
FENWICK & WEST LLP
1191 Second Avenue, 10th Floor
Seattle, Washington 98101
Phone: (206) 389-4510
Fax: (206) 389-4511
Email: bbuckley@fenwick.com
edavison@fenwick.com

Counsel for Defendants
AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB
SERVICES LLC, and VADATA, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Richard G. Frenkel, hereby certify that on November 7, 2014, I caused the foregoing **MOTION TO SEAL AMAZON'S OBJECTIONS TO TELEBUYER'S PROPOSED TECHNICAL ADVISOR CANDIDATE** to be served on the following parties as indicated below:

Jeremy E. Roller Diana S. Breaux YARMUTH WILSDON PLLC 818 Stewart Street, Suite 1400 Seattle, WA 98101 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff Telebuyer LLC</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> By United States Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Legal Messenger <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Electronic CM/ECF <input type="checkbox"/> By Overnight Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Facsimile <input type="checkbox"/> By Email [by agreement of counsel] jroller@yarmuth.com dbreaux@yarmuth.com
Brian M. Berliner Mark Alan Samuels Xin-Yi Zhou O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 S. Hope Street, Suite 1050 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff Telebuyer LLC</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> By United States Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Legal Messenger <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Electronic CM/ECF <input type="checkbox"/> By Overnight Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Facsimile <input type="checkbox"/> By Email [by agreement of counsel] bberliner@omm.com msamuels@omm.com vzhou@omm.com
Marc Pensabene O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Times Square Tower 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff Telebuyer LLC</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> By United States Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Legal Messenger <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Electronic CM/ECF <input type="checkbox"/> By Overnight Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Facsimile <input type="checkbox"/> By Email [by agreement of counsel] mpensabene@omm.com
Jonathan Crawford O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 2765 Sand Hill Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff Telebuyer LLC</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> By United States Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Legal Messenger <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Electronic CM/ECF <input type="checkbox"/> By Overnight Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Facsimile <input type="checkbox"/> By Email [by agreement of counsel] jcrawford@omm.com

November 7, 2014

By: s/Richard G. Frenkel
Richard G. Frenkel of
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP